Professional Dialogue (Scoring Sheet)

3

Name: Nahanni Adams-Lindberg

4

Marker's Name(Peer Review): Kari McNabb

2

EU (Enriched Unders	iched Understanding)			FM (Fully Meeting)				MM (Mostly Meeting)				NY (Not Yet)			
 □ Preparation for conversation is evident (3 – 4 questions) □ Evidence of Professional Dialogue □ Good Grammar/spelling □ Organization/Format □ Formed critical thoughts and opinions from conversation □ Linked/connected to previous knowledge □ Conclude with intention and purpose 								4 - 3 - 2 - 1 4 - 3 - 2 - 1							
	4 EU (Enriched Understanding)			3 FM (Fully Meeting)				2 MM (Mostly Meeting)			1 NY (Not Yet)				
Preparation, Format, and Mechanics	APA Format is evident with 1-2 grammar and/or spelling errors.			APA format with more than 2 grammar and/or spelling errors				APA format with several grammar and/or spelling errors			Does not follow APA format and has several grammar and/or spelling errors				
	20	1	9	18	16	1	4	12	10	8	6	4	2	2	0
Impact	Is able to make several varying connections and formulate own opinion based off of two or more professionals			Is able to make at least 3 varying connections based off two or more professionals				Can make 1 or 2 connections based off of two or more professionals			Struggles to make any connections (connections as student on teacher, school, division, province, Canada, World – as teacher- to a student, class, staff, school & other)				
	40	38	36	34	<mark>32</mark>	30	27	24	20	16	12	8	6	3	0
Intent	Has an in-depth understanding of how to apply the information in the teaching profession context to outline at least 3 plans of actions or intentions for improvement.			Has an understanding of how to apply the information in the teaching profession context to outline at least 1 plans of actions or intentions for improvement				Has somewhat of an understanding of how to apply the information in the teaching profession and hints of planning actions for improvement			No evidence of understanding of content and no personal connections made or plans of actions for professional improvement stated				
	40	38	36	34	32	30	<mark>27</mark>	24	20	16	12	8	6	3	0

Feedback Form

Student Name: Nahanni Adams-Lindberg Assessment Task: Dialogue Paper – Draft #1

Task requirements	Assessment Criteria Excellence (What do I need to do to do it well?)	Self-Reflection	Critical Friend or Teacher Guidance
Checklist		What's going well?	What's going well? What revisions might
(What do I need to do?)		What's my next best step?	be considered?
 □ Preparation for conversation is evident (3 – 4 questions) □ Evidence of Professional Dialogue □ Grammar/spelling □ Organization/Format □ Formed critical thoughts and opinions from conversation □ Linked/connected to previous knowledge □ Conclude with intention and purpose 	 APA Format is evident with 1-2 grammar and/or spelling errors Is able to make several <u>varying</u> connections and formulate own opinion based off of two or more professionals. Has an in-depth understanding of how to apply the information in the teaching profession context to outline at least 3 plans of actions or intentions for improvement. 	What is going well (affirmed)? • • • • • What needs more work (revise)? • • • • • • • Where I would like to go next (aspire): • • • • •	 What is going well (affirmed)? Really good connections to what was discussed. Had good sentence structure when it came to discussions and how they made you feel. Had a good conclusion and did a good job including your own experience bringing the paper more full circle. Putting the interview questions into the paper so it is known what was asked. Maybe split up the paragraphs a little more so its not just one big paragraph See more discussion about your plans of action in your classroom after these discussions

I really like the paper, and I think it is almost meeting excellence but that's to be expected as these are drafts and I think once more is added and there is more discussions of action plans and connections you make I do believe it would hit excellence.